



-유의사항-

1. 답안은 검정색 연필 또는 검정색 볼펜으로 작성하십시오. (빨강색이나 파랑색 사용금지)
2. 답안을 수정할 경우 지우개(연필, 샤프 사용시)를 사용하거나 두 줄을 긋고(볼펜 사용시) 그 위에 재작성하십시오.
3. 답안과 관련 없는 표현이나 표시를 한 답안은 "0" 점 처리 됩니다.
4. 본 고사는 답안지 1장 이내에 답안을 작성하여야 합니다.
답안지 교체는 가능하지만 기존 답안지 제출은 불가합니다.

France's decision to ban Muslim veils aroused the controversy whether the veils were an oppression of women's freedom or just a cultural aspect and freedom of Muslim people. Advocates of the ban argued that the veils depicted the subordination of women in society and politics. On the other hand, some people opposed the ban because the veil was just expressing religion and had no harm to society. However, the most important reason why this ban was passed was because of the majority of France who didn't understand the minority Muslim population. Like this, minority culture sometimes gets ignored and threatened due to the majority who don't share their ideas. To solve this problem, governments must apply salad bowl approaches in society.

To start, governments can stop conflicts between the majority and minority regarding values by using a salad bowl approach. A salad bowl approach is a method of not only teaching the minority about the majority cultures, but educating the majority about the minority cultures. This approach builds a bridge of understanding between the two groups, and can stop conflicts based on values. The salad bowl policy can be applied in various sectors. The most effective field is education. Governments who adopt salad bowl policies teach children about different minority cultures and teach them to respect them. This type of education proved to be very effective in the Netherlands. The Netherlands had a very diverse ethnical society, which led to disputes between groups and the majority and minority. In response, the government implemented a salad bowl policy, especially in education. This eventually led to the understanding of the society to grow and has made the Netherlands 'the most unified state in the world', according to UN surveys.

However, this salad bowl approach is not important in just the promotion of multiculturalism, but is more significant in the sense it gives the minority true liberty. My definition of true liberty is the combination of negative liberty and positive liberty. People should be free from the interference of other people, and be supported in their pursuit of different values. The salad bowl policy serves this true liberty well. It provides freedom from the majority because the majority understands the minority cultures, and it allows minority groups to educate and live their own cultural values in a way that the government supports. Thus, I believe that the best solution for the majority oppressing the minorities values that reflect the 'Two Concepts of Liberty' is the salad bowl policy.

In conclusion, minority values can be protected from the majority by the government implementing a salad bowl policy, which also provides the minority with both the negative and positive liberties. People all have their rights of freedom. Accordingly, they all have their choice on what to think and what to do. This applies to both the majority and minority. They should all be respected as we all respect our own freedoms.